FEB 20 MIN STATE

AP 1744

Transmitted he of Appeal filed: The fee for filin Large En A check i X Charge tl	rewith in trip Octobe g this Appearitity n the amount of	TO THE COMMIS Dilicate is the Appeal Entr 20, 2003 all Brief is 165. X Small Entity	SSIONER Brief in this	G. K OF PATEN application nclosed.	n, with respec	Group Art Ur 1744 et to the Notice RECEIVE FEB 2 7 200
09/524, nvention: VI Transmitted he of Appeal filed: The fee for filing Large En A check in X Charge to	rewith in trip Octobe g this Appeatity n the amount of	March 14, 200 ASH MITT TO THE COMMIS Discate is the Appeal Entry 20, 2003 All Brief is 165. X Small Entity Int of of the fee to Deposit A	SSIONER Brief in this	G. K OF PATEN application nclosed.	Graham NTS: n, with respec	t to the Notice
Transmitted he of Appeal filed: The fee for filing Large En A check in X Charge to	rewith in trip Octobe g this Appeatity n the amount of	TO THE COMMISTORY TO THE COMMI	SSIONER Brief in this .00 is e	OF PATENTS application	NTS:	ct to the Notice
Transmitted he of Appeal filed: The fee for filin Large En A check i X Charge tl	rewith in trip Octobe g this Appea tity n the amount	TO THE COMMISTALL TO THE COMMI	Brief in this .00 is e	application .	n, with respec	RECEIVE
of Appeal filed: The fee for filing Large En A check in X Charge to	Octobe g this Appea tity n the amount	olicate is the Appeal E or 20, 2003 al Brief is165. x Small Entity ont of	Brief in this .00 is e	application .	n, with respec	RECEIVE
The fee for filing Large En A check in X Charge ti	g this Appea tity n the amoun	al Brief is 165.	is e			
Large En A check i Charge ti Payment	n the amoun	x Small Entity nt of of the fee to Deposit A	is e			
A check i	n the amoui	nt of	is e		22-0185	FEB 2 7 200
X Charge to	ne amount c	of the fee to Deposit A	Account No		22-0185	- ·
Payment		·). <u> </u>	22-0185	_ ·
	by credit ca	ard Form PTO-2038				
		by authorized to chargent to Deposit Accou	ge any add		•	required or
	eg. No. : 3 Y BOVE LOI eet, N.W., S n, DC 20036	9,294 DGE & HUTZ LLP uite 800		(Dated:F	February 20, 2004



Docket No.: 22153-00002-US

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Scott Graham

RECEIVED

Application No.: 09/524,612

Confirmation No.: 8732

FEB 2 7 2004

Filed: March 14, 2000

Art Unit: 1744

For: VEHICLE WASH MITT

Examiner: G. K. Graham

APPELLANT'S BRIEF AND REQUEST FOR TWO MONTH EXTENSION OF TIME

MS Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This brief is in furtherance of the Notice of Appeal, filed in this case on October 20, 2003. Applicant respectfully requests a two month extension of time extending the period for response to February 20, 2004.

The fees required under § 1.17(f) and any required petition for extension of time for filing this brief and fees therefor, are dealt with in the accompanying TRANSMITTAL OF APPEAL BRIEF.

This brief is transmitted in triplicate.

This brief contains items under the following headings as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.192 and M.P.E.P. § 1206:

I. Real Party In Interest

II Related Appeals and Interferences

III. Status of Claims

IV. Status of Amendments

V. Summary of Invention

VI. Issues

VII. Grouping of Claims

VIII. Arguments

IX. Claims Involved in the Appeal

Appendix A Claims

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest for this appeal is:

Scott Graham

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There are believed to be no other appeals or interferences which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in this appeal.

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

A. Total Number of Claims in Application

There are 17 claims pending in application.

B. Current Status of Claims

- 1. Claims canceled: 0
- 2. Claims withdrawn from consideration but not canceled: 6

- 3. Claims pending: 17
- 4. Claims allowed: 0
- 5. Claims rejected: 1-9, 16, and 17

C. Claims On Appeal

The claims on appeal are claims 1-17

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

Applicant filed an Amendment After Final Rejection on September 10, 2003. The Examiner responded to the Amendment After Final Rejection in an Advisory Action mailed September 24, 2003. In the Advisory Action, the Examiner indicated that Applicants' proposed amendments to claims 1-9, 16 and 17, would not be entered.

Accordingly, the claims enclosed herein as Appendix A do not incorporate the amendments to claims 1-17, as indicated in the paper filed. However, the claims in Appendix A do incorporate the amendments indicated in the paper filed by Applicant on April 4, 2003.

V. SUMMARY OF INVENTION

In accordance with the present invention a vehicle washing mitt has been developed for covering vehicle washing brushes, for use on brushes used to wash vehicles, such as those at self-service car washes. There is also claimed a method and a foaming brush.

VI. ISSUES

Are claims 1-9, 16 and 17 indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention?

Are claims 1-5 and 9 anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) by Wirth (U.S. Patent 5,177,831)?

Are claims 1, 2, 6, 7 and 9 anticipated under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) by GB patent 481,824?

Is claim 8 obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over GB patent '824 in view of Peterson (U.S. Patent 2,571,606)?

Are claims 16 and 17 obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Wirth (U.S. Patent 5,177,831)?

VII. GROUPING OF CLAIMS

For purposes of this appeal brief only, and without conceding the teachings of any prior art reference, the claims have been grouped as indicated below:

Group Claim(s)

- I. Claims 1-9 drawn to a mitt for washing brush
- II. Claims 10-15 drawn to a method of washing a vehicle
- III. Claims 16-17, drawn to a foaming brush

In Section VIII below, Applicant has included arguments supporting the separate patentability of each claim group as required by M.P.E.P. § 1206.

VIII. ARGUMENTS

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-9, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner has contended that in claim 1, line 1, use of "associated" is vague and questions whether the mitt is coupled with the brushed or not. Applicant believes this term is clear in the claims. Please refer to a list of at least 170+ patents-issued recently that employ the—language "removably associated with" that was submitted with Applicants prior response to the PTO. Applicant believes there is little chance all 170 of these patents are indefinite as they are presumed valid and were analyzed by the PTO. Since this language was deemed acceptable in other patents and is clear from the instant specification and figures how the removable association operates in the present invention, the former claim language is not believed to be indefinite.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-5 and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Wirth '831. Claim 1 was treated as a sub-combination of the mitt. However, Applicant respectfully disagrees that the claim is so limited. That is, claim 1 recites both the mitt and the brush "removable associated with" each other. Claim language to this effect should have already been searched and considered by the Examiner.

In any event, the present claims are not taught or suggested by Wirth. That is, Wirth fails to teach or suggest a brush and mitt combination as claimed, much less a self service vehicle wash (as recited in claim 9). This rejection is believed to be improper and should be withdrawn. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection based on Wirth.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7 and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by GB patent '824. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

While the GB patent discloses a cover, nowhere does the GB teach or suggest the claimed apparatus or a self-service vehicle wash. Indeed, the term "vehicle wash brush" must be interpreted by resort to Applicants specification and drawings, which show what one of skill in the art understands a "vehicle wash brush" to comprise and entail. The GB patent's brush is not a "vehicle wash brush" as claimed. Contrary to the Examiner's assessment, a "vehicle wash brush" does in fact define structure not disclosed or suggested by the GB patent. In other words, although the brush of the GB patent could theoretically be used to wash a vehicle, a "vehicle wash brush" as known in the art is not taught. Most clearly, with respect to claim 9, the device of the GB patent could not be considered a self-service vehicle wash. There is simply no teaching or suggestion of a vehicle, much less a self service vehicle wash. This rejection should therefore be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 8 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over GB patent '824 in view of Peterson '606. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

The GB patent is deficient for the reasons set forth above. Moreover, the Examiner admits that the GB patent fails to teach or suggest elastic cording sewn in the hem being in the form of an elastic sheet sewn around the edge of the opening. Peterson fails to fill the deficiencies of the GB. Namely, Peterson discloses a cover fitting over the foot of a user to clean floors. The cover can include an elastic tape or sheet (22) sewn about the opening of the cover. Nowhere does Peterson teach or suggest to employ a cover to a vehicle wash brush, and Peterson does not mention vehicles whatsoever. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw this rejection.

Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wirth '831. This rejection is respectfully traversed. As mentioned above, Wirth fails to teach or suggest a self service vehicle wash, much less the "foaming" brush of a vehicle wash.

Indeed, the Examiner admits that Wirth fails to teach a removable cover fit over a brush. In this regard, the Examiner states, "Wirth does not disclose his cover as being fit over a brush." The Examiner instead relies on his opinion that "such appears obvious in view of the teachings of Wirth." This "such appear[ing] obvious" is apparently the Examiner's conclusion that Wirth discloses the use of vehicle washing brushes which employ bristles and are coupled with liquid supply. This is not a self-service car wash, not even in the broadest sense.

Request for Rejoinder

Rejoinder of the withdrawn claims under the *In re Ochiai* guidelines is appropriate since all the examined claims are now allowable the withdrawn claims are methods depending therefrom.

Drawing Objections

The Examiner has alleged that certain elements must be shown in the drawings or the feature must be cancelled from the claims. Applicant respectfully disagrees. See 37 CFR 1.83. That is a "self service car/vehicle wash" is well known and understood not only by those of skill in the art, but to anyone who rides in a vehicle in the United States. The invention is understood without specifically showing a vehicle wash facility since this feature is not the invention by itself.

The invention is a combination brush/mitt suitable to be used in a vehicle wash facility. As far as a removable brush, this is not claimed, rather a removable mitt is claimed, and this feature is clearly shown in the drawings.

A proposed drawing change was submitted to avoid abandonment.

IX. CLAIMS INVOLVED IN THE APPEAL

A copy of the claims involved in the present appeal is attached hereto as Appendix A. As indicated above, the claims in Appendix A do not include the amendment(s) filed on September 10, 2003.

Applicant hereby authorizes the Commissioner to please charge our Deposit Account No. 22-0185, under Order No. 22153-00002-US in the amount of \$375.00 for the fee for appeal and a two month extension of time and any other fees deemed necessary, from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: February 20, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Susan E. Shaw McBee

Registration No.: 39,294

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP

1990 M Street, N.W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036-3425

(202) 331-7111

(202) 293-6229 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant

APPENDIX A

Claims Involved in the Appeal of Application Serial No. 09/524,612

1. A mitt removably associated with a vehicle washing brush comprising:

a material formed into the approximate shape of said washing brush, wherein said material is capable of fitting around said washing brush, and said material has a first surface facing and in contact with said washing brush, and a second surface opposite said first surface facing away from said washing brush, and wherein said second surface is woven with fibers capable of washing a surface of a vehicle, and said material is capable of allowing the passage of water from said washing brush;

a fastener capable of securing said mitt onto said brush while water passes through said washing brush.

- 2. The mitt of claim 1, wherein said material is capable of fitting around said washing brush covering the bottom and all four sides of said washing brush, with an aperture on the top of said mitt, wherein said aperture is capable of allowing the passage of said washing brush into said mitt.
- 3. The mitt of claim 1, wherein said fastener comprises at least one VELCRO® strap.
- 4. The mitt of claim 1, wherein said fastener comprises at least one pair of pieces of material capable of being tied, fastened, buckled or buttoned together.
- 5. The mitt of claim 1, wherein said material is sherpa or sheepskin.
- 6. The mitt of claim 2, wherein the top edge of said material is sewn into a hem around said aperture.
- 7. The mitt of claim 6, wherein said hem encloses an elastic material capable of forming a tighter seal around said brush.

8. The mitt of claim 1, wherein an elastic sheet is sewn around the top edge of said material.

- 9. A self-service vehicle wash comprising the mitt of claim 1.
- 10. A method of washing a vehicle comprising: selecting a vehicle washing brush attached to an assembly for delivering water; attaching the mitt of claim 1 to said brush; allowing said assembly to deliver said water through said brush and said mitt; applying said water to said vehicle while washing the surface of said brush.
- 11. The method of claim 10, wherein said water is soapy water.
- 12. The method of claim 10, wherein said water is rinse water.
- 13. A method of washing a vehicle comprising: attaching the mitt of claim 1 to a hand of a user; applying water to said vehicle while washing the surface of said vehicle.
- 14. The method of claim 13, wherein said water is soapy water.
- 15. The method of claim 13, wherein said water is rinse water.
- 16. A foaming brush mounted to a self-service car wash comprising a removable mitt according to claim 1.
- 17. A foaming brush removably mounted to a self-service car wash comprising a cover adapted to fit over said brush.--